



QUESTIONNAIRE

NATIONAL MEETING EVALUATION

CBHE project **CBHE project number 574099-EPP-1-2016-1-IT-EPPKA2-CBHE-SP - Paving the way to interregional mobility and ensuring relevance, quality and equity of access - PAWER**

PLACE AND DATE

1. Name (optional) _____
2. Institution (optional) _____
3. Did you find the meeting for your institution (knowledge of the project, including the methodology, results, activities):
 - very important
 - important
 - not important
4. To what extent were the questions connected with the project explained during the meeting?
 - 1 2 3 4 5(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
5. To what extent did the time dedicated to the questions connected with the project satisfy your expectations during the discussions?
 - 1 2 3 4 5(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
6. To what extent did the objectives of the meeting satisfy your expectations?
 - 1 2 3 4 5(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
7. To what extent did the meeting allow you to identify the role of your institution in the project?
 - 1 2 3 4 5(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
8. Did the meeting allow you to exchange opinions and experience with the partners?
 - 1 2 3 4 5(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
9. How do you mark the organization and the facilities at your disposal during the meeting?
 - 1 2 3 4 5(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

10. Did you receive the documentation about the meeting in due time?

yes no

11. How would you assess the quality of the documentation?

1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

12. How would you evaluate the location of the meeting?

1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

13. How would you evaluate the social aspects of the meeting?

1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

14. Which aspects of the meeting do you consider the best?

15. Which aspect of the meeting do you consider the worst?

16. Which aspects of the meeting do you consider the most useful?

17. Which aspect of the meeting do you consider the less useful?

18. Which characteristics of the meeting contributed to its effectiveness?

19. What advice would you give to the partner institutions so as to improve the results of the next meetings?

20. How would you generally evaluate the meeting?

1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)