



QUESTIONNAIRE

NATIONAL MEETING EVALUATION

CBHE project **CBHE project number 574099-EPP-1-2016-1-IT-EPPKA2-CBHE-SP - Paving the way to interregional mobility and ensuring relevance, quality and equity of access - PAWER**

PLACE AND DATE

1. Name (optional) _____

2. Institution (optional) _____

3. In which EU university have you been hosted for the PAWER training

- MDX - Middlesex University Higher Education Corporation (United Kingdom)
- WUELS - Wroclaw University of Environmental and Life Science (Poland)
- USZ - University of Szeged (Hungary)

4. What is your position?

5. To what extend did the objectives of the training satisfy your expectations?

- 1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

6. To what extend was the visit useful for your professional life?

- 1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

7. To what extend was the visit useful for your institution?

- 1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

8. To what extend was the visit connected with the achievement of the objectives of PAWER project?

- 1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

9. To what extend did the visit allow you exchange opinions and experience with the partners?

- 1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

10. How do you mark the organization and the facilities at your disposal during the meeting?

- 1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

10. Did you receive the documentation about the meeting in due time?

yes no

11. How would you assess the quality of the documentation?

1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

12. How would you evaluate the location of the meeting?

1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

13. How would you evaluate the social aspects of the meeting?

1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

14. Which aspects of the meeting do you consider the best?

15. Which aspect of the meeting do you consider the worst?

16. Which aspects of the meeting do you consider the most useful?

17. Which aspect of the meeting do you consider the less useful?

18. Which characteristics of the meeting contributed to its effectiveness?

19. What advice would you give to the partner institutions so as to improve the results of the next meetings?

20. How would you generally evaluate the meeting?

1 2 3 4 5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)