



## QUESTIONNAIRE

### REGIONAL MEETING EVALUATION

CBHE project **CBHE project number 574099-EPP-1-2016-1-IT-EPPKA2-CBHE-SP - Paving the way to interregional mobility and ensuring relevance, quality and equity of access - PAWER**

PLACE AND DATE

1. Name (optional) \_\_\_\_\_
2. Institution (optional) \_\_\_\_\_
3. Did you find the meeting for your institution (knowledge of the project, including the methodology, results, activities):
  - very important
  - important
  - not important
4. To what extent were the questions connected with the project explained during the meeting?  
 1       2       3       4       5  
(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
5. To what extent did the time dedicated to the questions connected with the project satisfy your expectations during the discussions?  
 1       2       3       4       5  
(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
6. To what extent did the objectives of the meeting satisfy your expectations?  
 1       2       3       4       5  
(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
7. To what extent did the meeting allow you to identify the role of your institution in the project?  
 1       2       3       4       5  
(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
8. Did the meeting allow you to exchange opinions and experience with the partners?  
 1       2       3       4       5  
(1=minimum; 5=maximum)
9. How do you mark the organization and the facilities at your disposal during the meeting?  
 1       2       3       4       5  
(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

10. Did you receive the documentation about the meeting in due time?

yes       no

11. How would you assess the quality of the documentation?

1       2       3       4       5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

12. How would you evaluate the location of the meeting?

1       2       3       4       5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

13. How would you evaluate the social aspects of the meeting?

1       2       3       4       5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)

14. Which aspects of the meeting do you consider the best?

---

---

15. Which aspect of the meeting do you consider the worst?

---

---

16. Which aspects of the meeting do you consider the most useful?

---

---

17. Which aspect of the meeting do you consider the less useful?

---

---

18. Which characteristics of the meeting contributed to its effectiveness?

---

---

19. What advice would you give to the partner institutions so as to improve the results of the next meetings?

---

---

20. How would you generally evaluate the meeting?

1       2       3       4       5

(1=minimum; 5=maximum)